

Department: MTC	Semester: 2nd
Name of the Teacher: Proff - T- Printy	Year: 2018

### Please rate the teacher on the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown.

parameters	A Excellent	B Very Good	C Good	D Average
Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	A			
Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	A			(AU) (*)
3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher	e teachu-	B	Samon year	
4. Interest generated by the teacher	14	10		
5 . Ability to integrate content with other courses		B		
6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	o toto bna rii nati colombia 42 shiarwa na	13		10 TO
7. Ability to design quizzes /Tests/assignments /examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	A			HIGA GNO Lingo
8. Use of Information & Communication Technology (ICT) in teaching learning.	V acitesiou	B	unices a	(E)U (8. (10))
Motivation provided to participate in Co-curricular activities.	A	se or tisb		illerid et s
10. Overall rating		B	enter di	2-3 H



Department: MTC	Semester : and
Name of the Teacher: Proff: Arun ku. Patra	Year:

### Please rate the teacher on the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown.

parameters	A Excellent	B Very Good	C Good	D Average
Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	A	90 000		ione A
Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	A	N ellen	noviestion idiamentene	
3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher	1970687 30	B	anna kair	Side E
4. Interest generated by the teacher	A	anryd sa		
5 . Ability to integrate content with other courses	reside dilive	B	0,000	
6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	A		spills of a	
7. Ability to design quizzes /Tests/assignments /examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	A			
8. Use of Information & Communication Technology (ICT) in teaching learning.	*	iatos A no griantes i	Seasonal I	) 90 - JS   JS
9. Motivation provided to participate in Co-curricular activities.	A	sec or sell		50% (d)
10. Overall rating		B	grebatan	



### STUDENTS OVERALL EVALUATION OF THE PROGRAMME AND TEACHING

Depar	tment: Psychology	Semester: Uth
Name	of the Teacher: Mrs. Anema Kindo	Semester: Uth Year: Aug to 2020
Your r	esponses will be seen only after your course resu	
inform	nation will be used only for the improvement of th	e course and teaching in future. You may
tick m	ore than one answer to a question to the extent tha	at they do not contradict each other.
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class	Pos zau discertificación como de la
	a 85 to 100%	c. 70 to 855
	b. 55 to 70%	d. Less than 55%
2.	To what extent were you able to get material for t	he prescribed readings?
	a. Easy	c. With some difficulty
	b. Not available at all	d. With great difficulty
3.	Communication skill of the teacher ?	sen V service lists not schumero? A
	a Always effective	c. Sometimes effective
*	b. Just satisfactory	d. Generally ineffective
4.	How far the teacher encourages student participat	ion in class?
	a. Mostly yes	c. Sometimes
	b. Not at all	d. Always
5.	If yes, which of the following methods were used?	onuern annworld aut au au au auth earth earth
	a. Encouraged to raise questions	c. Get involved in discussion in class
	b. Encourage discussion outside class room	d. Did not encourage
6.	How helpful was the teacher in clarification of dou	bts?
	a. Very helpful	c. Sometimes helpful
	b. Not at all helpful	d. Did not advise
7.	Internal assessment was	livernal or secure was
	a Always fair	c. Sometimes unfair
	b. Usually unfair	d. Sometimes fair
8.	How often did the teacher provide feedback on yo	ur performance?
	a. Regularly/in time	c. With helpful comment
	b. Often/late	d. Without any comments
9.	Please provide your valuable suggestions if any :	B. Plante provide your valuable suggest



### STUDENTS OVERALL EVALUATION OF THE PROGRAMME AND TEACHING

Departmen	t: Psychology	Semester: 445
Name of th	e Teacher: Miss Tyotickha Swibe	Grear: dus to avao
	nses will be seen only after your course resul	
	will be used only for the improvement of the	
	nan one answer to a question to the extent tha	
	much of the syllabus was covered in the class	
	a. 85 to 100%	c. 70 to 855
l l	o. 55 to 70%	d. Less than 55%
2. To w	hat extent were you able to get material for th	e prescribed readings?
	. Easy	c. With some difficulty
Ł	. Not available at all	d. With great difficulty
3. Com	munication skill of the teacher?	an alistic this applies the first and a
a	Always effective	c. Sometimes effective
b	. Just satisfactory	d. Generally ineffective
4. How	far the teacher encourages student participation	on in class?
a	Mostly yes	c. Sometimes
b	. Not at all	d. Always
5. If yes	, which of the following methods were used?	t sinus multiple of the following and the
a	Encouraged to raise questions	c. Get involved in discussion in class
b	Encourage discussion outside class room	d. Did not encourage
6. How	helpful was the teacher in clarification of doub	ts?
	· Very helpful	c. Sometimes helpful
b	Not at all helpful	d. Did not advise
7. Interi	nal assessment was	town somessed length (
a.	Always fair	c. Sometimes unfair
b.	Usually unfair	d. Sometimes fair
8. How	often did the teacher provide feedback on you	performance?
· la	Regularly/in time	c. With helpful comment
b.	Often/late	d. Without any comments
9. Please	provide your valuable suggestions if any:	S. Please provide your valuable sugge



Department:	Semester :
Name of the Teacher:	Year:

### Please rate the teacher on the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown.

parameters	A Excellent	B Very Good	C Good	D Average
Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)		es) en	izac sabal	won? .i (poy
Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	aceria to en	sells (se	unication rehensibili	Z Comm comp
3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher	e teacher	W to mean	estronye.	euniz s
4. Interest generated by the teacher	P 1		istorios se	erajai A
5 . Ability to integrate content with other courses	o sedio ruiw	91-017050 (1)	ia integra	moa . ê
6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)		arta to yatth	iti ic valici Islava zao as vicus u	
7. Ability to design quizzes /Tests/assignments /examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	sts/assignment to evaluate e		ngiceb ót 6. znoksmi 6. gráboste	7 Ability Jesan under
8. Use of Information & Communication Technology (ICT) in teaching learning.	and Albert To	nned & ne Antmaeli	iniomolo) a (eacimis	9 (EU) 8
Motivation provided to participate in Co-curricular activities.	icipate in Co	sted to par	мож (фіз 791	MooM @
10. Overall rating			ander fi	10 Oven



### STUDENTS OVERALL EVALUATION OF THE PROGRAMME AND TEACHING

Department:	Semester:
Name of the Teacher:	Year:
Your responses will be seen only after your course result	s have been finalized and recorded. The
information will be used only for the improvement of the	course and teaching in future. You may
tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that	
How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	
a. 85 to 100%	c. 70 to 855
b. 55 to 70%	d. Less than 55%
2. To what extent were you able to get material for th	e prescribed readings?
a. Easy	c. With some difficulty
b. Not available at all	d. With great difficulty
3. Communication skill of the teacher?	Communication and a stone of the s
a. Always effective	c. Sometimes effective
b. Just satisfactory	d. Generally ineffective
4. How far the teacher encourages student participation	on in class?
a. Mostly yes	c. Sometimes
b. Not at all	d. Always
5. If yes, which of the following methods were used?	Wyes, while to the informing man
a. Encouraged to raise questions	c. Get involved in discussion in class
b. Encourage discussion outside class room	d. Did not encourage
6. How helpful was the teacher in clarification of doub	ts?
a. Very helpful	c. Sometimes helpful
b. Not at all helpful	d. Did not advise
7. Internal assessment was	
a. Always fair	c. Sometimes unfair
b. Usually unfair	d. Sometimes fair
8. How often did the teacher provide feedback on you	r performance?
a. Regularly/in time	c. With helpful comment
b. Often/late	d. Without any comments
9 Please provide your valuable suggestions if any	supply out as law amurative in a reality.